

MINUTES OF MEETING
JULINGTON CREEK PLANTATION
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Julington Creek Plantation Community Development District was held Tuesday, August 8, 2006 at 6:00 p.m. at the Community Development District Administrative Offices, 950 Davis Pond Boulevard, St. Johns, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Susan S. Beaugrand	Chairperson
Kathleen P. Minnis	Vice Chairman
Al Abbatiello	Assistant Secretary
April Spears	Assistant Secretary
Brian Pincket	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

James Oliver	District Manager
Jonathan Johnson	District Counsel
Matt Maggiore	District Engineer
Mike Lucas	Basham Design Group
Stacie Hernandez	YMCA
Several Residents	

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Ms. Beaugrand called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Minutes of the July 11, 2006 Meeting

Ms. Beaugrand stated the next item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the July 11, 2006 meeting. Are there any corrections or additions? On page 6 the fourth paragraph down starting with Mr. Abbatiello, it looks like "would" is an extra word in that sentence. On page 23 they have left out Gregg Coop's last name. On page 30 the third paragraph down where it says, Ms. Beaugrand stated that has already been done, that was actually Stacie Hernandez.

On MOTION by Ms. Minnis seconded by Mr. Abbatiello with all in favor the minutes of the July 11, 2006 meeting were approved as amended.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Hearing to Consider the Adoption of the Budget for Fiscal Year 2007 and Levy of Non Ad Valorem Assessments

Ms. Beaugrand stated the next item is the public hearing to consider the adoption of the budget for fiscal year 2007.

Mr. Johnson stated before you open the hearing I will ask Mr. Oliver to confirm that the public hearing has been noticed in accordance with Chapter 190 and that 60 days prior to tonight's hearing the county was provided a draft copy of the budget.

Mr. Oliver responded that is correct.

Mr. Johnson stated if you think back in years past how we have handled these hearings, we open the public hearing by motion, we have a Board discussion phase, call for public comment, after the public comment phase we return it to the Board for any action. When you get to that third portion of the hearing tonight we are going to suggest to you that if you are prepared to do so, you may take action on the budget which you have or with what modifications you make using the resolutions provided but that you hold off on the assessment resolution and we will continue this hearing until your September meeting. We do not yet have the assessment roll from England Thims & Miller and you want to have that here when you take action on the assessment resolution because that does adopt that roll and authorize its transmittal to St. Johns County.

On MOTION by Ms. Minnis seconded by Mr. Pincket with all in favor the public hearing was opened.

A. Discussion of Fiscal Year 2007 Budget

Mr. Johnson stated Jim, if you want to present any changes or updates from the version the Board has previously seen, then we can move into Board discussion.

Mr. Oliver stated at the last meeting the biggest change we had, on page 5 of the recreation fund budget, the first line item under facility administration under field expenses, we

had a total of \$418,000 and that number was revised to \$418,200 based on input from Stacie Hernandez.

Ms. Beaugrand stated I actually have a couple of minor changes. If you go back to the general fund, the capital reserve account that number was increased by about \$5,000 from the previous version that we saw.

Mr. Oliver responded that is correct.

Ms. Beaugrand stated there are two minor changes in the middle totalling \$250. You get back to page 5, the vending machine number on the revenue section went to zero and I wasn't sure why that went to zero. Was that included in a different number?

Ms. Hernandez responded we no longer have vending machines.

Ms. Beaugrand stated the attorney's number under administrative expenses went down by \$12,000 and I think that is because there were some expenditures included in that budget item that really should have been under the bond issue related to services for that.

Mr. Johnson responded that is correct.

Ms. Beaugrand stated printing went up by \$130 and Jim already mentioned facility administration going up from \$415,000 to \$418,000. Those are the only changes that I noticed from what we saw last time. Does anyone else have any comments or questions?

Mr. Pincket stated I was speaking with Jonathan just before the meeting about attorney's fees and I thought Jonathan told me that he charged a flat \$1,000 per meeting.

Mr. Johnson responded for the work relating to the monthly meetings. On other work relating to financing, administrative matters, relating to the recreation center, I charge an hourly rate.

Mr. Pincket stated we budgeted \$8,000 for 12 months.

Mr. Johnson responded that is just in your recreation fund. If you turn back to page 1 there is an additional budgetary item for non recreation matters.

Ms. Beaugrand asked are there any more questions from the Board? At this point do we need to open it up for public comment?

Mr. Johnson responded if there is no further Board discussion then yes, it would be open for public comment.

Mr. Edwards stated my question is on the landscaping budget. Was it decreased? Does that mean the services are going to be decreased for 2007?

Ms. Beaugrand responded that is the same as we had budgeted for last year. There is no decrease in services. We have the same amount of work to be done.

There being no further comment from the public,

Mr. Johnson stated we will bring it back to the Board for any further discussion and final action. Again, we will only be considering the budget and you have a separate resolution for that.

B. Consideration of Resolution 2006-07 Adopting the Budget for Fiscal Year 2007

Ms. Beaugrand stated at this point in time we need to have a motion to approve Resolution 2006-07 which is adopting the budget.

Mr. Johnson stated for the record that was distributed in your agenda package and there was a slightly revised version distributed by email, hard copy of which is being passed down.

Mr. Beaugrand stated Resolution 2006-07 is approval of the budget for the fiscal year beginning October 1, 2006 through September 30, 2007.

On MOTION by Ms. Minnis seconded by Mr. Abbatiello with all in favor Resolution 2006-07 was approved.

C. Consideration of Resolution 2006-08 Levy of Non Ad Valorem Assessments

Mr. Johnson stated I suggest a motion to continue the public hearing for purposes of considering the assessment resolution and assessment roll at your September 12, meeting. There is not much fluff time in there because we do have to certify it by the end of that week.

On MOTION by Mr. Abbatiello seconded by Ms. Minnis with all in favor the public hearing was continued to September 12, 2006 at 6:00 p.m. at this location.

Mr. Pincket stated I spoke to Jim about this earlier, in terms of the last paragraph of Resolution 2006-07, I thought we should have the District manager and I suppose the treasurer to have both authorized for any kind of transfer of an unexpected balance in the appropriation within \$10,000 but that is up to the Board, it is really not my decision. I don't know what was done in the past.

Ms. Beaugrand stated that is consistent with what we have done in the past.

Mr. Oliver stated that would be Jim Perry so it would be fine to do that.

Mr. Johnson stated Jim Perry is the treasurer so it would simply really require two people from GMS, Jim Oliver and Jim Perry to both approve that.

Ms. Beaugrand asked does that give you the check and balance you were looking for?

Mr. Pincket responded a little bit.

Mr. Oliver responded it is really just moving money from one line item to another during routine budget activities and we would always come back to do a budget amendment at the end of the year.

Ms. Beaugrand stated anything that gets changed, they come back in a subsequent month.

Mr. Pincket stated that is fine.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Discussion Items

A. Scheduling of Recreation Facility Workshop

Ms. Beaugrand stated the next item on the agenda is scheduling the recreational facility workshop. We talked a couple of months ago about scheduling a workshop with staff and the Board, doing an advertisement such that it would be in the sunshine to have kind of a working meeting to go through a lot of the due diligence and planning items that need to be done between now and probably our September meeting. We talked about that a couple of months ago and I think everyone was in agreement that that was something that was appropriate to do so at this point confirm that you still agree that that is the appropriate thing to do and decide when we might schedule it.

Mr. Johnson stated we propose to have GMS here with their computer and have someone from Prager here and as you are making some adjustments in the budget, we will give you some immediate feedback as to the impact ultimately on the assessments and how that is going to look in a bond issue so that you can really have a productive working session to come out with a final product hopefully, by September or shortly thereafter to move forward.

Ms. Beaugrand stated we did this a few years ago it was a really beneficial session I thought and more informally than the situation we are in during one of our meetings. To have more give and take and Prager will be here with their computer like Pam was a couple of months

ago but really be able to crunch some numbers and get some good due diligence work done on it. It is a scheduling issue.

Mr. Johnson responded this is the 8th, your next meeting is the 12th you could land somewhere in the middle the week of the 28th which is a little over two weeks from today and a little more than two weeks from the next meeting. Are there any nights that are good or bad. We want to make it so that all of you who want to attend, can attend.

Ms. Beaugrand asked is Tuesday, the 29th okay?

Mr. Johnson stated if we have a motion to schedule the workshop for 6:00 p.m. on the 29th at this location, we will notify the other consultants who should be available.

On MOTION by Ms. Minnis seconded by Mr. Abbatiello with all in favor the workshop was scheduled for Tuesday, August 29, 2006 at 6:00 p.m. at this location.

B. Actions Regarding Boundary Amendment

Mr. Johnson stated I am going to ask you to table Item B. We had hoped to have the petition ready to present to you and we are still working on that. I would like to bring a final product to you.

Ms. Beaugrand asked do we want to put that on the agenda for September?

Mr. Johnson responded yes, for the September meeting.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Pay Requests

A. No. 135 Payable to Hopping Green & Sams in the Amount of \$1,377.14

B. No. 136 Payable to Basham & Lucas in the Amount of \$70,891.20

Ms. Beaugrand stated the next item is approval to pay, pay request nos. 135, 136, 137 and 138 and 137 and 138 should be in front of you. Are there any questions?

Ms. Minnis stated I have a question on 138.

Mr. Johnson responded I was thinking about the JEA pump station but I am not sure. It could also be a paralegal over at Pappas Metcalf we did respond to some queries they had. I will find out and let you know but I'm inclined to say it was someone at Pappas Metcalf and not the City of Jacksonville. That would not have been billed to this matter.

On MOTION by Ms. Minnis seconded by Mr. Abbatiello with all in favor pay requests 135, 136, 137 and 138 were approved.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

Mr. Oliver stated I don't know if Mr. Lucas has a presentation to make.

Ms. Beaugrand stated we need to always have recreation facility on the agenda going forward.

Mr. Lucas stated I just wanted to see if you had any questions about the budget? It is the draft.

Ms. Beaugrand stated maybe what we will do is undertake that discussion when we go to the engineer's report. It kind of goes hand in hand. Are we still moving forward?

Mr. Lucas responded we are still moving forward.

Ms. Beaugrand stated I did get an email from Paul and he sent me a draft of his budget. The meeting that was hopefully going to take place at the county regarding the site plan issues does not take place until tomorrow.

Ms. Minnis stated we made the workshop for our attendance and we should consider Basham & Lucas.

Ms. Beaugrand stated actually we would like all of our staff to be there. It affects everybody.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

There not being any, the next item followed.

B. Engineer

Mr. Maggiore stated you have before you the draft of the engineer's report we put together. It is similar to what we did three years ago, updated and the substance of it would be the cost estimates for the new facility and maybe we should go through that. It should be the third sheet from the back.

Mr. Johnson stated if I could jump in here by way of framing your discussion of this, I think it is important to realize that in this first cut what Matt has done is try to include not only what is anticipated to be spent but what has been spent to date. When you look at that bottom line number understand that includes the land acquisition cost and costs associated with your bond validation a couple of years ago. At the end of the day in the final work product we will keep those shown but they will be broken out of the total because you are not going to fund those with bonds, you have funded them with available funds on hand but from an investors perspective, the bond underwriters will find it useful to show prospective investors what this Board has already put in by way of equity into this project to show that it is not all debt funded. It is useful information. That is a breakout you will see in the next iterations.

Mr. Pincket asked what portion of that number is going to be equity?

Mr. Johnson responded roughly it is about \$3 million versus \$11 ½ million.

Ms. Beaugrand stated if you look at the land cost, that \$756,000 is already spent. We have about \$1 ½ million, moneys from assessments that we have collected. That is an approximate number. Several months ago it was estimated that we would have additional collections from this fiscal year of about another \$650,000 so that is almost \$3 million. I could be wrong but if you take that \$14 ½ million, you have \$750,000, add \$1 ½ million then the \$650,000 and \$500,000 from Horton and then add back the \$548,000 for the park and that gives us a bottom line of approximately \$11.7 million that we would be looking at from a bond issuance standpoint.

Mr. Pincket asked does the \$11.7 million you mentioned represent the most expensive?

Ms. Beaugrand responded I would say it does. I think everything is estimated on the high side. I also put in front of you a copy of the scenarios that Palm Holton prepared. If you look at scenario 4 it has a bond amount of about \$11 ½ million. Go to page 13 you will see that scenario basically includes the full funding of the recreation center, the funding of this building that we are in now and estimating \$1 ½ million of O&M. The other scenario said \$1.1 million and we know that is not going to be right. Just use this as a benchmark. What the \$11 ½ million in bonds does is from an assessment standpoint is for a single family resident it would take the assessments on an annual basis to \$621 approximately. That would be \$132 increase from where we are today, per year, per single family only. Remember that we wanted to stay as close to \$100 as we could. In reality I think that is probably pretty good considering the cost increase of

materials that has taken place over the last three or four years. That is not to say that I am going to sit here and accept this \$11 ½ million number but this is kind of our worst case scenario and we do have kind of an estimate from Prager and I wanted to give you a framework as to where that put us from an assessment standpoint. With that being said, I think we need to go through cost numbers.

Mr. Maggiore stated just to frame it up for you, we took Mr. Lucas's estimate and on his estimate he had numerous options or upgrades whatever you want to call them. What we did was took the highest number for each option or upgrade so this will reflect Mr. Lucas's highest numbers. It may be better if he walked you through and you can talk about what you would like to do.

Mr. Lucas stated you are right it represents the highest numbers but the best options.

Ms. Beaugrand stated so they are your recommended options.

Mr. Lucas responded it is the worst case scenario that you would like to see. He has taken the best options. If you look at mine I have three categories. The first category is March 17, 2006 is the budget that Paul originally gave you. I have two columns 7/28/06 provides what we would consider in our minds the base. Then there is one 7/28/06 which is additional but that is other options that you have asked for and we tried to ballpark the costs.

If you want to start off with the site work we originally started off with \$1.5 million and we have revised the number to \$2.1.

Ms. Beaugrand stated that does include the drainage system in the roadway that the environmental engineer indicated would probably be assessed as well.

Mr. Lucas stated we were basically asked to look at three different options for the tennis courts. The least expensive would be the asphalt court, then you have clay court with above ground irrigation and clay court with below ground irrigation. The clay court with above ground irrigation is \$410,000. The below ground irrigation would be an additional \$11,000 but if you chose to go the least expensive route with the asphalt courts it would be deduct of \$16,800. If you chose to add a water cooler it would be an added \$7,800.

Residents club was originally budgeted at \$3 million and we revised it to \$2.9 million based on the square footage.

Mr. Pincket asked what is the total per square foot?

Mr. Lucas responded the interior space is \$175 a square foot and under cover we can do it for \$30 a square foot. I have 16,500 square feet of air conditioned space and 2,400 square feet of covered area. It came up to \$2,875,000 for the air conditioned space and 2,400 square feet of covered space at \$30 a square foot for a total of \$2,950,000.

At the skate park we have a check-in pavilion which consists of a small office and storage and restrooms. I guess we originally budgeted \$130,000 and with the square foot cost it is \$176,000.

Ms. Minnis stated I have a question about the higher numbers.

Ms. Beaugrand stated this is a revised number. This is an estimate originally they took per square foot cost numbers and applied that.

Mr. Lucas stated it is a revised number, they don't take into consideration the March 17 number because at that point in time we really didn't have a footprint of some of these buildings. Now we have generated footprints of the skate park, the bath houses so we are able to refine that number.

At the skate park we have always shown an airnasium, that includes the basketball, goals and lighting and that number is \$450,000 but if you decide you just want to go with the basketball courts and goals only you can deduct \$425,000.

The pool and restroom building now that we have a floor plan for that, that number is \$330,000. That basically included an aquatics office but after we met with Stacie because of the location of the pool equipment building what she needed was something closer to the junior Olympic pool and because of the way things took shape, we proposed breaking out the aquatics office from the original proposal and making a building and that is why you see on the site plan a SP1.2A and that includes the pool deck area. That was to help with the meets. That is an option.

Ms. Beaugrand stated that would face the pool house structure?

Mr. Lucas responded yes. If you look at 1.2 this is the pool house, the actual aquatics building and the bench pavilion and then if you go to 1.2A that pool house no longer has it, it has separate aquatics up here because of the proximity to the junior Olympic pool.

Ms. Beaugrand asked do we have storage in that tennis pavilion for some supplies, if we did clay?

Mr. Lucas responded we added that. That was originally just the bench structure but we added storage up there for the clay rollers.

Ms. Beaugrand stated there would be room for clay material too.

Mr. Lucas responded what we would do is add a double dumpster to store it out there.

Ms. Beaugrand stated actually that \$330,000 is for the pool house building and the restroom.

Mr. Lucas responded yes, the pool equipment and restroom building with the aquatics office in it.

Ms. Beaugrand stated if we were to separate it out and do a separate aquatics office near the junior Olympic pool it would be an add on of another \$32,000.

Mr. Lucas responded that is correct.

Mr. Pincket asked would there be a deduct on the pool equipment?

Mr. Lucas responded that is already taken into consideration. It is a deduct but the aquatics office is going to cost more.

Ms. Beaugrand stated this is not the true cost of the aquatics office; it is the difference of what savings are there.

Mr. Lucas stated we go to the tennis pavilion just like you were talking about that is another \$50,000.

Ms. Beaugrand stated that will include that storage facility.

Mr. Lucas responded yes, the storage facility. The playground equipment stayed the same at \$50,000. The fun pool will be broken out. We originally had a water slide in the pool and that is one number now, and we have broken out the fun pool itself which is \$653,000 and the water slide will be \$150,000.

Ms. Beaugrand stated that cost actually went down.

Mr. Lucas stated the interactive water feature number stayed the same. The junior Olympic pool has jumped quite a bit. Just the base pool went from \$360,000 to \$480,000. In talking with Stacie she suggested a few different options to take it to 6 feet 7 inch depth that was originally \$45,000, to take it to a 12 foot depth that was an additional \$90,000, to add a waveless gutter was an addition \$18,000 and if we want to heat it, it would be an additional \$50,000.

We didn't have a number for the wading pool before but that number is \$40,000. I computed the numbers for the pool deck, I had one for pavers and one for concrete but I have been told you are going to use the one for the pavers.

Ms. Beaugrand asked will you talk about the positives and negatives of pavers versus concrete.

Mr. Lucas responded we are doing quite a bit of pavers these days. It used to be that pavers were more expensive, now it seems that pavers are less expensive but they can be a little more maintenance over time. It is definitely a nicer feature plus you do find out when you walk on some of these, you have to be careful what you choose because they can be hot. You try to choose a light color for the pavers but they still end up being a little bit warm.

Ms. Beaugrand asked what about longevity?

Mr. Lucas responded they will last, but the acrylic coating you will have to redo that. If you put in concrete you are going to power wash the deck. With the pavers you have to be a little bit more careful.

Ms. Beaugrand asked aren't they more porous, where if you spill something on it they are going to take the color?

Mr. Lucas stated we have been doing lighter.

Mr. Pincket asked can pavers be easily pulled out if they are stained?

Mr. Lucas responded they are on a sand bed and compacted in there. Typically when we do pavers on a walkway we put them on a sand base. We don't put them on concrete. If there is going to be a lot of traffic then we put a concrete slab underneath the pavers. Typically we have been using pavers.

The sidewalk number includes what is inside up to where the pavers start. In talking to Stacie she wants to differentiate between the basic shade of the building which would be out on the decks that everybody could use then we have what will be an event structure that could be rented out for birthday parties and I guess you can charge for it and when it wasn't rented out it would basically be another shade structure that you can put lounges under.

Ms. Minnis stated on 1.2A I see an event pavilion.

Mr. Lucas responded an event pavilion, I have both. Now that we have a more accurate plan the fencing is aluminum fencing. I have another number we will get to in a little bit.

Ms. Beaugrand stated help me understand this. This would enclose the building.

Mr. Lucas responded basically around the pool deck and anything visual from the front you would see aluminum. From the back side that would be chain link. Separating the two

pools and something that would be more visible from the front would be five foot high aluminum fence.

Ms. Beaugrand stated that would be like a picket fence.

Mr. Lucas responded yes.

Ms. Minnis asked would that be an 8 foot fence by the pool?

Mr. Lucas responded 4 foot minimum but we would put in a 5 foot fence.

Ms. Beaugrand stated we also have it secured around our property.

Mr. Lucas stated you would have chain link around the tennis courts and around the back of the property this would be an 8 foot high chain link fence.

Then we go to the skate park that includes building metal structures. There are several configurations. The one we show now is very generic. There are different layouts we can get but this is the area we designated for the skate park. They will be able to go around the airnasium just to skate, that would be a concrete slab they can skate around but the physical skate park itself is that area. Once we really get into it we can address that. There are pipes and turns.

Ms. Beaugrand stated we did a workshop last August and we had a picture and Paul had a book from this company that has them all pre-designed.

Mr. Lucas stated there is an 8 foot high chain link around the skate park. The fountain is \$15,500 and we had a chain link fence for both facilities. We reduced the landscape and irrigation budget to \$450,000. We reduced the signage. I talked to the interior designer to get the furniture number and she decreased it from \$300,000 to \$275,000. We did not include the fitness equipment in our number because I thought that was going to be a lease but I see they put it back on here.

Ms. Beaugrand stated the other question was whether it made sense to lease the kitchen equipment.

Ms. Minnis stated the one thing I thought of there isn't a bathroom for tennis.

Mr. Lucas stated it is not a line item but we included that. The last number is the pool deck equipment and pool deck furniture went from \$100,000 to \$175,000.

Ms. Beaugrand stated I have a question on the contractors general conditions, why would that percentage be taken against the entire number?

Mr. Maggiore responded to be honest with you I took that number I think from Basham.

Ms. Beaugrand asked why would that number be taken on the total versus just the cost of construction?

Mr. Lucas responded we probably should not do that.

Ms. Beaugrand stated then that would also pertain to the payment and performance bond and contingencies is that a hard cost or hard and soft cost in contingencies?

Mr. Lucas responded I think it is soft. Your payment and performance bond is on the contract.

Ms. Beaugrand stated it is 1% of your subtotal so that tells me that that bond is being priced out at the total. I think it is high compared to what it should be. The bond cost generally doesn't include equipment and stuff.

Mr. Lucas responded you are right.

Ms. Beaugrand stated the same goes for the contingency, the contingency number on that total number.

Ms. Behrmann stated there is nothing on here for wiring for sound and I don't see anything on here and that can't be a small cost. We talked about a PA system, music, speakers that sort of thing.

Ms. Hernandez stated I have that number.

Ms. Beaugrand asked you have it in the O&M?

Ms. Hernandez responded yes.

Ms. Beaugrand stated we will have to talk about that. There are numbers in your O&M that are really capital expenditures and need to be in this versus your O&M numbers. Last month we talked about different staff to get confirmation on the numbers on the second page, environmental permitting, fees, permits. Those are the numbers that the consultants put in. It includes the Basham & Lucas contract which we previously approved, some of which was paid so take that into consideration. What is the cost of paying off the assessments on Parcel 50? Did you pay off the assessments on this bond with the closing?

Mr. Johnson responded I will have to check. I believe we did.

Ms. Beaugrand stated you need to check on that and we need to include that in these costs. The NOPC costs that are in here for Hopping Green and England Thims & Miller, does that net out the \$50,000 that Horton was to pay?

Mr. Maggiore responded no. The \$75,000 for ETM was our work authorization that was approved but I don't believe we spent half of that.

Ms. Beaugrand asked can we put the actual number in here because we are done with NOPC?

Mr. Johnson responded yes and we will reformat this for the next viewing in terms of "to be spent" and "what has been spent", equity versus debt.

Ms. Beaugrand stated and find out if we need to request reimbursement from D.R. Horton for what they committed to that.

Mr. Maggiore stated for example on the design for Basham, do you want to have one section for what they have been paid and one section for what they will be paid?

Ms. Beaugrand responded just like what Jonathan was saying, the fees we will have paid by that point in time so it will be part of our equity.

Mr. Johnson stated at some point, we will pull out and say here is what we have spent, here is what we have in existing capital funds and funds available today that we are going to continue to spend and here is what we need debt for. We will ultimately break that down to three pieces; what we spent, the rest of the equity we are putting in and then what we need debt for.

Ms. Minnis stated I have a question about these costs. On ETM's they now have landscaping, site construction.

Ms. Beaugrand responded we need to talk about that separately. That is for across the street and in the parking lot there.

The land cost here has already been paid. We own those parcels. While that is still part of our total project cost, it is equity. We have already paid for it and that is what Jonathan said is one of the things they will put together. It will be enumerated once we get down to the budget showing the total cost, what our equity is and what we have remaining to be paid. What we have in the capital fund, what we bond, it will be the two sources of funds that we have. Don't forget Horton will make progress payments as the project moves along that they are contractually obligated for.

Going to that second page, we had talked two or three years ago about doing two things. One was repairing the parking lot across the street and also building a restroom facility and a water fountain. At the same time properly designing this parking lot and now that we are in this building, we can integrate the parking which if you look at the design in the engineer's report

you will notice that they did incorporate a traffic flow between the two facilities which makes a whole lot of sense. You can get out not only on Durbin Creek Boulevard but you can get out through this parking lot as well. Do you want to talk about that cost right now?

Mr. Maggiore stated you may recall that this project we designed for you about three years ago, you had us bid the project and the bids came in very high. There were only two responsive bidders. The two bids were \$300,000 and \$425,000 I believe at the time. You only had two bidders at the time and very high bids, they were very far apart from each other and you decided to reject all bids and do it later. This opinion of cost has been updated to (a) to reflect the revised plans since the last engineer's report and (b) the unit prices have increased rather dramatically. This number is higher than the one number you had before but that is what we think it is going to come in at.

Ms. Beaugrand stated the landscaping, adding those trees, not the mulch, seeds or irrigation, but adding the oak trees and crepe myrtles is that a code issue or is that for aesthetic purposes?

Mr. Maggiore responded I don't know, I would have to check. I didn't do the design. I believe it may be code but I can find out.

Ms. Beaugrand asked can we get clarification on that so we know what our options are as far as value engineering this design?

Mr. Maggiore responded okay.

Ms. Beaugrand stated \$50,000 for parking lot lighting?

Mr. Maggiore stated to be honest we tweaked some of these numbers based on the bids. Because you are doing such a relatively small job, the unit prices you see sometimes are drastically different.

Ms. Beaugrand asked even being able to bid it out with the larger project?

Mr. Maggiore responded you will probably see some savings.

Ms. Beaugrand stated we should be able to twist some arms here for bidding on the large project, commensurate unit prices on the smaller project.

Mr. Maggiore stated right.

Ms. Beaugrand stated then the result of this is really on the high side.

Mr. Maggiore stated I hope so but to be honest with you, we have seen such incredible price increases that we have no clue what stuff is going to come in at anymore. We take our

latest bids and then we increase them by some factor. The bids we got two months ago, we take those and pump them up. The price you see is what I did. We took unit prices from jobs we bid recently and factored in that you are only doing 5,000 square yards of asphalt, so you are going to pay more. I think even though you are going to have two facilities with a big number, you are still doing 5,000 yards of asphalt in one little spot whereas, we do 100,000 in a road. Whoever bids it, you are going to pay more.

Ms. Beaugrand asked will you clarify if the landscaping is code or aesthetics?

Mr. Maggiore responded yes.

Mr. Pincket stated the parking lot light, one unit. Is that one unit to cover?

Mr. Maggiore responded it is a lump sum item, with multiple poles.

Ms. Spears stated at one time at the old pool we discussed doing some things to spruce it up a little bit. Have we abandoned that? We talked about several ideas; heating it, putting lights in, something that might attract people to this pool.

Ms. Beaugrand responded we had looked a number of years ago at the opportunity of heating and fixing the lighting at the pool and heating the pool would require pulling up the entire deck system. The light problem with what the requirements are today, we found that could be accomplished. The other thing we were talking about at the ad hoc committee was the possibility of adding a slide or doing some ongoing maintenance. I think that is something we can budget.

Ms. Spears stated that would be interesting to see the cost of adding something like that. I think it is a good idea to consider now, how you are going to attract people to continue to come to the existing pool.

Ms. Behrmann stated we discussed that we really couldn't think of doing anything to the existing pool until we firmed up the numbers on the new one. One of the ideas we talked about was taking the baby pool and making the baby pool into a spray but then Paul brought up that if we are going to do that we may get into trouble with the bathroom situation so we said we will put that on hold until we see what numbers we were faced with here and then if we had left over money we could look at doing something. I think it is very important that we make sure that this pool is still attractive to help take the load.

Mr. Pincket stated I think you might find some natural balance in there.

Ms. Behrmann stated we also talked about sometimes this pool could be adults only Monday nights. The other pool would be teens only a certain period, kind of spread the wealth around to disburse the numbers.

Ms. Beaugrand asked where is the intercom system? Stacie put together an income and expense analysis for the new facility showing what our revenues could be for camps and swim teams and parties. Then she took the expenses that we saw previously, salaries and administrative costs and she added to it taking into consideration fitness instructors, aquatic directors, tennis directors, program coordinators and some of our ongoing recreation expenses that we already have in our budget, engineer, attorney, GMS, etc. Based on her first run the O&M ends up equaling about \$1,850,000 but that does include some capital expenditures so she needs to work on the numbers.

Ms. Minnis asked how are we going to get all of these numbers consolidated before the workshop?

Ms. Beaugrand stated this is an O&M estimate. This doesn't become part of the engineer's report. We need to take some of these capital improvements and move it from this budget to the other budget. We can piggyback off of what Oakleaf has gone through with their new rec center to get an idea.

Mr. Johnson stated I think perhaps we would aim to have some revisions back in time for your workshop so you can make some progress from there.

Ms. Minnis asked who submits the final list for the workshop?

Ms. Beaugrand stated Basham's expenses have already been incorporated into the engineer's report. Then Stacie was to get the capital improvements off of the O&M and put it into the other budget.

Ms. Spears stated just so I'm clear on the timeline. By the next meeting we are going to make decisions?

Ms. Beaugrand responded before our next meeting we will have the workshop.

Ms. Spears stated we will have the workshop and by the next meeting we need to make some decisions about do we want the waveless gutters, do we want the clay courts.

Ms. Beaugrand responded right. At the workshop we did last year, we got some great feedback from people in the swim community and the tennis community. I provided that again to you this past week. I sent it to Paul especially the stuff at the pool.

Ms. Spears stated for the workshop we want to encourage people from the community to be there to give input. I think it would be a good idea to have some of this information available to both. I don't know if you want to have copies here so they can think about it ahead of time.

Ms. Beaugrand stated we can contact the Sun and do a notice again.

Mr. Pincket stated sometime we should have a process where we post those kind of notices on the sign out front so people driving through can see it.

Mr. Edwards stated we made a motion at our POA meeting to discuss with you about sharing your board to post information. It is a central location. It is something for you to consider.

Ms. Beaugrand stated we will talk about that more with audience comments.

Mr. Maggiore stated on the revised engineer's report would it be enough to have that revised before the workshop?

Ms. Beaugrand responded yes.

Mr. Maggiore stated if I can get input from Paul and Stacie by a week before, then I can have that incorporated. On the revised layout, would it be helpful to have a total cost section and a separate section for what you have already paid?

Ms. Beaugrand responded maybe columnar form where you have a total cost column and then you have equity or paid whatever the right word is and yet to be paid.

Mr. Maggiore stated okay.

Ms. Beaugrand stated Mike you are going to clarify the general conditions, the payment and performance bond to make sure we have this based on the right numbers.

Mr. Lucas asked would you consider purchasing the fitness equipment?

Ms. Beaugrand responded I would like Stacie to take a look at that and communicate what makes the most sense. I have no idea.

Mr. Johnson stated there may be a lot of the fixtures and equipment that at the end of the day you either bid and buy separately or you bid through the contract and change order out because you can purchase materials on a tax free basis if you purchase it directly yourself as opposed to buying it through a contractor and paying sales tax.

Ms. Beaugrand stated take it out of the contract.

Mr. Johnson stated your purchases have to be publicly bid so if it is associated with the construction often we will include it in the bid package and then we will change order it out afterwards and you approach the vendors directly and buy them.

Mr. Pincket asked is the contract set up now where we would be paying the contactor profit on those types of things?

Mr. Johnson responded it is not set up at all yet.

Ms. Beaugrand stated that is what I was referring to in looking at these numbers we need to make sure that we set it up appropriately and not pay profit on that kind of stuff. Then Jonathan is going to check on the NOPC numbers and Horton and also the assessments on this building.

Mr. Johnson responded I think they were paid off but I will verify it.

Ms. Beaugrand asked what about the CDD expansion numbers? Where is that in here?

Mr. Johnson responded it is not anywhere in there.

Ms. Beaugrand stated the other thing we need to do is verify the exact number we have in our capital reserve and if we can get an estimate of how much we expect to collect and we will add to it before we start using those assessments to provide debt service for bonds or are we going to have debt service reserve set up in the bonds?

Mr. Johnson responded given that the bonds will likely be rated and insured again, that may reduce the debt service reserve requirement so there may be something less there.

Ms. Beaugrand stated we need to have a handle on that number as well.

Mr. Johnson stated I will take the lead with Pam Holton.

Ms. Beaugrand stated Matt is going to check on the code requirements for the swim facility parking lot.

Mr. Maggiore responded yes.

Ms. Beaugrand stated I think that is the "to do" list.

Ms. East stated I am a resident here as well as I work for a company that builds amenity centers and I am extremely interested in this project. I'm wondering has this been value engineered at all yet or is this very, very preliminary?

Ms. Beaugrand responded this is very preliminary and we are talking about value engineering at this point.

Mr. Stewart stated I notice the plan shows fencing around the tennis courts, and security fencing around the pool.

Mr. Lucas responded there is an 8 foot fence around the back of the site.

Ms. Beaugrand stated it is fully secured.

Mr. Stewart asked will this place be monitored since it is back in the woods?

Ms. Beaugrand responded yes it is monitored.

Ms. Minnis stated that is part of Stacie's budget.

Mr. Stewart asked is that a person on site?

Ms. Beaugrand responded security patrols.

Ms. Hernandez stated 8 hours after we close, that is what the budget is based on. While we are there, we're there, when we close that is when we have the patrol.

Ms. Beaugrand stated we have monitors and cameras and presumably we need that more at the new facility than we need it here.

C. Recreation Facility Manager

Ms. Hernandez stated school is back in session and as usual our volume has significantly dropped. Seniors breakfast will continue on Friday mornings from 8:30 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.

Security: During the year our alarm will go off anywhere from one to four times a week and either deputies or myself answer the calls prior to the sheriff's department being dispatched. We have eliminated the vending machines; they always seem to be a target especially this year. However, it appears that Julington Creek over the past two years has seen a significant rise in crime and many of those report dates coincide with the alarms going off at the aquatic complex so I decided to update our current security system at the current pool, also add the administration building to a new ADT security system instead of Atlantic Westec which we seem to have had problems with over the past three or four years. The new equipment is commercial grade rather than residential that we had before. I will have the ability to log on at night so that when I get called in the middle of the night I can hopefully see and have a better feel for the communication I have not only with security or the sheriff's department but Deputy Seay as well who is a resident here in Julington Creek.

Mr. Pincket asked are you going to rewire the entire building?

Ms. Hernandez responded no. We are adding new wires for additional cameras but we are trying to use as much of the existing hardware as we can. We got a new keypad, a couple of additional cameras and we have a better high definition visual on our screen. We have seen people in there, we have had the place dusted for fingerprints but when it comes down to actually seeing the perpetrator we are having a hard time because the quality and the resolution are old.

You will see the invoices in our financial statements for some of the changes that we have made.

Ms. Beaugrand stated let me clarify, when you said report dates, I didn't understand.

Ms. Hernandez stated there has been a lot of crime here in Julington Creek and having the alarm going off here you realize that some of that activity was here and they go on to different neighborhoods.

The acquisition of this building was truly a lifesaver this summer since the compressor in the camp room went out so we had camp for a few days in here and everyone was very grateful and it worked out very well.

We are moving our offices from the south side to the north side, we have gotten our new phones, computers are up and running, you have our new phone number. We are also painting and cleaning up the south side so that we can hold our programs to start here in November.

Remember we have holiday camp, parents night out, Saturday holiday shopping with gift wrapping. We have a lot of kids coming back from college who are interested in continuing their employment.

Ms. Behrmann asked have you contacted the high school about their community service hours? We may have seniors who are interested in obtaining community service hours and that way they are volunteers and not paid.

Ms. Hernandez responded being a volunteer is not easy. They still have to go through the hiring process. They have to be drug screened and background checked because they work with children. That is a good idea and we have considered it but we have not done it because of the cost to get that done.

Mr. Pincket asked what does it cost to hire a person?

Ms. Hernandez responded the screening is \$35 a person and then \$15 for driving and additional fees. It adds up. I think it is more of the timeframe, getting people in here, going through the interview process when I have a staff that is eager and willing and sometimes my

staff says I don't want to get paid today, I need volunteer hours. They have already worked with me for awhile, I know who they are and I have that security. We do a lot of volunteer work but the kids are already working for me.

I do not have a name for the building. I have thought about it a little bit but not a lot. Julington Creek Plantation obviously CDD and what we do here being it is the recreation office but I know that seems pretty boring. We have been calling it the admin building for ten years that I know of. Admin building, recreation office, we don't want to say clubhouse because we don't want people to think we are doing other activities in here although we will do some fall programs so if anyone has a great idea, please speak up.

Mr. Abbatiello stated the only thing I thought of was JCP Community Development District annex which when we have the new building and a lot of our offices will move over there, this will be an annex.

Ms. Beaugrand stated this may end up being the main office and that will be an annex.

D. Manager – Meeting Dates for Fiscal Year 2007

Mr. Oliver stated it is time for the District to select their meeting dates for fiscal year 2007 so we can publish those. Behind Tab 7D are the proposed dates and those would continue to be the second Tuesday of each month at 6:00 p.m. at this location.

Ms. Beaugrand asked will you check the St. Johns County school district for spring break.

Ms. Spears stated I think it is the first week in April.

Ms. Hernandez stated spring break is April 2, to the 6th.

Ms. Beaugrand stated so we are good on the 10th.

On MOTION by Mr. Pincket seconded by Ms. Minnis with all in favor the meeting schedule for fiscal year 2007 was approved as presented.
--

Ms. Beaugrand stated last month we had a discussion and Kathy was going to do some research about the wire transfers.

Mr. Oliver stated Kathy and I did have some discussion, I think part of the problem is simply using a typical consumer branch rather than small business or commercial.

Ms. Minnis asked where is your office located?

Mr. Oliver responded the particular office I was working out of is co-located with ETM so it is next to that St. Augustine Road branch at 95 and St. Augustine Road. We just moved to World Golf Village.

Ms. Minnis asked which one is the main office?

Mr. Oliver responded Jacksonville, on St. Augustine Road.

Ms. Minnis asked and you are working out of the annex?

Mr. Oliver responded that is correct.

Ms. Beaugrand stated from a banking standpoint it doesn't matter where the branch is located if they are a commercial banking branch.

Ms. Minnis stated the account our checks are written off of are out of a different bank as well.

Mr. Oliver responded correct. This was just the closest bank to conduct the wire transfer.

Ms. Minnis stated I'm wondering if they need to establish a relationship with a bank to have that.

Ms. Beaugrand stated that is what my conversation with Jim was about too. Maybe we won't have as big a wire in the future but it is not something that can be handled out of a branch.

Ms. Minnis stated the question is, is that at a JCP level or is that something at GMS?

Mr. Oliver responded I would say for this particular District, if we have any large transactions in the future, we will go beyond using a normal branch to give it the priority it deserves. I think that will take care of the problem.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisor's Requests

Ms. Minnis stated there was some discussion about the boundaries of the CDD and the POA. Has there been time to talk about that? You were going to meet with someone at the POA.

Ms. Hernandez responded yes I did and it is not descriptive. I did get it from England Thims & Miller and it was really good, it was great, it was green all the way down the road that we take care of but it really doesn't satisfy what we are looking for and that is all the new development and their entrances. I think that was part of the concern; who takes care of their entrances. We take care of the irrigation, we take care of picking up trash and we mow.

Anything outside of that say for instance if Publix at that corner decided to put in a lot of plants and flowers and everything and added additional irrigation, my understanding is that that is their responsibility to take care of that. Therefore, all of the others like the church and condo projects. I have gotten several phone calls that we took the condo project and I'm trying to explain it to May Management but unfortunately May Management is no longer managing Southern Creek so then it fell upon whoever's landscaping and I think that is Trim Terrific and they need to put that in their bid so that he has whatever it is going to take, to take care of that.

Mr. Edwards stated we just had our landscaper give us a report and we specifically asked those questions as well. Our reference to who is doing what and we are getting a lot of feedback and she clarified that was not their property, Southern Creek's management is responsible for that. The developer put them in for them. In reference to the entrances, from our perspective we are responsible for the neighborhood entrances. What we are going to do is talk to the businesses who own their landscaping property like the church as a gesture of goodwill we want to talk to those businesses but that is not our responsibility. We are telling everyone that it is the CDD's responsibility from the property on the left and right side of Racetrack Road and partial of the middle and our landscaper told us and it will be in our minutes, the pieces in that middle section that she is responsible for.

Mr. Stewart stated one of my concerns were two of the lakes. I called Richard because one was reported and he said that is not theirs it is the CDD's. I talked to Stacie and she said it was ours. The grounds committee needs to find out who is responsible for what.

Ms. Beaugrand responded yes and I think everyone has been trying to do that. Another thing is I think that we need to collectively put some pressure on the county regarding their maintenance schedule for the eastern side of Racetrack Road if that means that they maintain it more frequently in the summer and less in the winter.

Mr. Stewart stated we have talked to the county and they are only required to do it four times a year.

Mr. Abbatiello stated someone should talk to Cindy Stevenson and see what she can do about trying to get more maintenance.

Ms. Minnis stated a resident who lives down there called me and when there was a problem with the parks the residents grouped together, made a donation and improved the landscaping.

Ms. Beaugrand stated they actually assessed to do that.

Ms. Minnis stated I said that we as a Board discussed this many times, the minutes are on the website but we would consider an assessment to increase the cost for landscaping.

Ms. Beaugrand asked an assessment for what? For doing basic maintenance or was he talking about including the entryways? We talked to the same guy. He called me and was complaining because his entryway wasn't up to his liking and this is in the Oaks which is down on Racetrack Road and quite frankly that entryway is the same as the other entryway.

Mr. Edwards stated we had the same issue with another subdivision that came in last month and they said the same thing to us. We said that based on what your builder put there that is what you have. If you want something special come back to us with the membership of your neighborhood and we will be glad to help you and we will do an assessment and they didn't show up at all.

Ms. Beaugrand stated being consistent that is a good thing.

Mr. Edwards stated that is the reason we are here, you should see a board member from the POA at every meeting. We are hoping to get a relationship going and work together on similar problems and we have similar issues. Now that our website is live we are getting emails and they are all asking questions and it is simple things, trash on the road and we refer them to May Management and we are starting to track the issues. We are hoping to get this in shape somewhat but we have a long way to go.

Ms. Minnis asked when is your meeting? I thought someone set up a meeting about landscaping.

Mr. Edwards responded the grounds committee.

Mr. Stewart stated I am going to meet with Stacie to get what she has, but apparently what she has is not clear.

Mr. Edwards stated I think the best thing to do is what we are contracted with our landscapers.

Ms. Minnis stated all of this goes back to our interlocal agreement with the county that says what we are supposed to maintain on Racetrack Road because there are parts the county is responsible for.

Mr. Edwards stated I think if we partner, the POA and CDD we will get more action than individually.

Mr. Abbatiello stated I think going to the county or Cindy Stevenson makes sense.

Mr. Edwards stated as an example signage, it can get out of hand. Several members of the board discussed that issue when we were here so we could convey what is going on. We are making some changes very slowly.

Ms. Beaugrand asked do you have a link from your website to our website? Do we have a link?

Mr. Abbatiello responded yes.

Mr. Edwards stated we are working on updating our CDD page to reflect the proper commission.

Ms. Beaugrand stated you brought up the issue of the reader board, I think that is a good idea for bullet points. Have you looked into the cost?

Mr. Edwards responded yes I don't have it with me but it was for six signs and I said get rid of them and we will talk to the CDD and see if we could share in paying for signage. I don't know how it came to six signs but I said there is no way I am going to have six signs all over our property that we have to maintain. Everybody agrees that Davis Pond and Durbin Creek is the center. Everybody knows this area and I think you mentioned that this be called the welcome center. You can call it the welcome center admin building or something. Everybody knows this is the central location where everybody has been going for years. We were hoping to partner with you somehow.

Ms. Beaugrand asked would you bring to our next meeting which is on the 12th a proposal?

Mr. Edwards stated I will bring back to the table one signage and I assume a lit sign.

Ms. Beaugrand stated break out the cost and we will discuss it.

Ms. Spears asked are we talking about something that will house wires and things?

Ms. Beaugrand responded no. It is like something in front of the schools.

Mr. Edwards responded we are talking about something that you open up and put notices on it.

Ms. Hernandez stated we have people coming in here every hour and they come in here for things for us but the majority of it is for the POA and there is nothing here for them. We try to explain what we do and we have our information. I would be happy to hand things out for the POA.

Ms. Spears stated maybe we could have something outside for big announcements but then also have something inside the building.

Mr. Edwards stated we don't have an established meeting schedule right now and that is a big issue. We did launch the website prematurely because of this issue of the move of May Management. We are trying to put that information in.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Audience Comments

Ms. Stewart stated at the grounds committee meeting Mr. Edwards asked about the possibility of the POA and CDD working in coordination for social activities for the whole community.

Ms. Beaugrand asked what do you mean by social activities?

Ms. Stewart responded when the new complex comes on board so that we can make sure there is something happening for the community.

Ms. Hernandez asked would the POA then pay for some of my special events?

Ms. Stewart responded initiating a conversation about how we could work together.

Ms. Hernandez responded working together would mean having to pay a portion of the cost.

Ms. Beaugrand stated I think it would be a good idea to have a conversation. There are going to be a lot of things that we are going to do at the new facility.

Mr. Stewart stated in regards to the pool, we were at the pool the other day and there was a handicapped gentleman that came in a wheelchair with his wife and came over to the steps by the sign and he had a cane and grabbed on the rail, went down in the pool and it wasn't three minutes later when the whistle blew and chased everybody out of the pool.

Ms. Beaugrand asked for lightning?

Mr. Stewart responded no for a potty break. This gentleman had to climb back out and by the time he got out and back in his wheelchair, they blew the whistle to go back in.

Ms. Beaugrand stated we have a ramp out to the pools.

Ms. Stewart stated the question was why do people who are visibly seniors have to get out at potty breaks and especially if you are disabled like that. He had just gotten in the pool.

Ms. Hernandez stated that is an issue that if anyone were to bring it to the manager on duty, he wouldn't make that person get out.

A resident stated I don't know what the CDD does or the POA does so I will just tell you my issues. If you go up Durbin Creek Boulevard, the street lights stop at the second intersection and there are no lights on the street and that intersection is not lit either.

Ms. Beaugrand asked are you talking about inside the parks?

A resident responded yes.

Ms. Beaugrand stated street lights are a county issue.

Mr. Johnson stated be warned when you talk to them the first thing you will hear is you have a CDD they will take care of it, they like to forget the fact that they own all those roads.

A resident asked who would I talk to about additional landscaping on that long stretch of road?

Ms. Minnis responded the county when they redid that front entrance and they were going to widen it they were also going to redo the landscaping. They started with the developer's original landscaping requirement then the residents assessed themselves and when the county came in they agreed to do the landscaping when they widened the front entrance but when Racetrack Road was built they agreed to put in the oak trees that are down on Racetrack Road so you may go to the same person on this as well.

Ms. Behrmann stated just a thought for the reader sign. I know right now this is considered the main part or the center but with the new recreation center the highlight area may become Laurel Branch and Durbin Creek so that is something to keep in mind depending on where you want it. The name of this building, I know originally we thought of Mystic Park or something and would it be prudent if he comes up with the other name and this will be abc annex or something.

Ms. Beaugrand stated the other name is Julington Creek Recreation Center. We are not doing a name on that.

Ms. Behrmann asked is the CDD extending employment for next summer?

Ms. Hernandez responded just like last year we are going to do this year. The YMCA is not talking any of my staff. They are coming with me.

Ms. Behrmann stated for like this Christmas thing you don't typically have the pool staff what did you mean when you said your staff?

Ms. Hernandez responded they are all returning for Christmas.

Ms. Beaugrand stated I got an email from Gregg about some payroll issues?

Ms. Hernandez responded I don't know what you are talking about.

Mr. Johnson stated there were some portion of the last months payroll wouldn't be billed until October and the need to address that.

Mr. Oliver stated I spoke with Gregg about that but they are going to be incurred in September so they will be in the fiscal year 2006 budget. It is a non issue.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Financial Reports

A. Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2006 and Statement of Revenues & Expenditures for the Period Ending June 30, 2006

B. Treasury Report – June 30, 2006

C. Special Assessment Report

D. Check Register Summary – 6/28/06 – 7/26/06

Mr. Pincket stated I have a question on the invoices that don't explain what they are for.

Ms. Hernandez responded the \$1,838 is for the table you are sitting at and folding chairs. \$1,951.89 is for two desks and two credenzas. The desks were taken by Distinguished Realty when they left. The \$188.88 was two additional resin tables.

On MOTION by Ms. Minnis seconded by Mr. Pincket with all in favor the recreation fund checks were approved.

E. Check Register/Payroll Summary – General fund – July 2006

Ms. Beaugrand stated the next item is the general fund.

On MOTION by Mr. Pincket seconded by Mr. Abbatiello with all in favor the general fund checks were approved.

On MOTION by Mr. Pincket seconded by Ms. Minnis with all in favor checks 50091 to 50098 were approved.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Next Scheduled Meeting – September 12,
2006 at 6:00 p.m. @ Community
Development District Administrative Offices**

Ms. Beaugrand stated the next meeting is September 12, 2006 at 6:00 p.m. here.

On MOTION by Ms. Minnis seconded by Mr. Abbatiello with all
in favor the meeting adjourned at 8:20 p.m.

Secretary/Assistant Secretary

Chairperson/Vice-Chairperson